Friday, March 31, 2006 5:26 PM

Volel Emile, Esq. 512 306 0240

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 3 1 2006

ATTN. Michael D. Meucci

FAX

Fax Number 1 571 273 8300

Phone Number 571 272 3892

FROM Volel Emile, Esq.

Fax Number 512 306 0240

Phone Number 512 306 7969

SUBJECT Response to Non-Compliant Appeal Brief

Number of Pages 53

Date 3/31/2006

MESSAGE

This fax communication contains:

- 1. one copy of a Fax Transmittal Form; and
- 3. three copies of the Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief.

Volel

p.01

Volel Emile, Esq. 512 306 0240

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

p.02

MAR 3 1 2006

PTO/8B/21 (02-04)

	U.B. Pate	Approved for use through 07/31/2009, ON ont and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF the of information unless it displays a valid OME of	COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1985, no pares	Application Number	t and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COUNTY INTER- 0 of information unless it displays a yelld DMB county Interes 09/965,002	
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	09/27/2001	
FORM	First Named Inventor	Sanza F. Abdelhadi	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Art Unit	2142	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Examiner Name	Michael D. Mrucci	
An This Colombia	Attorney Docket Number	AU6920010905US1	
Total Number of Pages in This Summission	CLOSURES (Check off the	net anniki)	
EN	CLOSURES (Check all th	After Allowance communic	alion
Fee Transmittel Form	Drawing(s)	to Technology Center (TC Appeal Communication to	
Fee Atteched	Licensing-related Papers	of Appeals and Interretant	TC
III	Petition	(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply	Brist)
Amendment/Reply	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	Proprietary information	
After Final	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence At		
Amdavita/declaration(s)	Change of Correspondence At	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	; 0
Extension of Time Request		1001.012 23.017	
Exprese Abandonment Request	Request for Refund		
Information Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s)		
Certified Copy of Priority	marks ponse to Notice of Non-Complian	t Appeal Brief	
pocumento,	PP-103-10-111		
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application			
Response to Missing Paris			
under 37 OFR 1.62 or 1.63			
	TOTAL PROPERTY ATTO	DNEY OR AGENT	
SIGNATUR	E OF APPLICANT, ATTO	KILLI	
Firm Volet Emile	al last	· /	
IndMdual name		·	
Signature			
Date 03/31/2006			
	IFICATE OF TRANSMISS		Service with
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope	faceimile transmitted to the USP	TO or deposited with the United States Posic or Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 2	2313-1450 pm
sufficient postage as first class mail in an anvelor the date shown below.	99 314 00000		
mund or extend game	1//8	17	
Volei Emile		Date 03/03/2009	
Signature // AA	The next	03/01/200	
	he information is required to obtain	or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and	s by the USPTC
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.6 process) an spoilcation. Confidentiality is governed by gathering, preparing, and submitting the complete has formation to time you require to complete his formation. Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commarce, P.O. ADDRESS. SERIO TO: Commissioner for Patential	35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This	collection is estimated to 2 nours to complete, increasing depending upon the individual case. Any company depending upon the individual case.	menis on the Separation
gathering, preparing, and submitting the complete and/o amount of time you require to complete this form and/o	suggestions for reducing this burden	, should be sent to the Chief information United, U. 150. DO NOT BEND FRES OR COMPLETED FOR	CHT OT EM
Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patent	e, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria,	/A 22313-1450.	

If you need essistence in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9109 and select option 2.

Friday, March 31, 2006 5:26 PM

Volel Emile, Esq. 512 306 0240

RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAR 3 1 2006

Appl. No. 09/965,002 Response to Non-Complaint Appeal Brief duted 03/31/2006 Reply to Office Action of 03/16/2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of:

Abdelhadl et al.

Serial No: 09/965,002

Filed: 09/27/2001

Ditie: APPARATUS AND METHOD : Confirmation No.: 2728 OF REPRESENTING REAL-TIME : DISTRIBUTED COMMAND

EXECUTION STATUS ACROSS DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

: Before the Examiner:

: Michael D. Meucci

: Group Art Unit: 2142

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLAINT APPEAL BRIDE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

oir:

This is a Response to a Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief dated March 16, 2006.

AUS920010905US1

Page 1 of 17

PAGE 3/53 * RCVD AT 3/31/2006 6:26:34 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/8 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:512 306 0240 * DURATION (mm-ss):10-48

p.03

BRIEF FOR APPLICANTS - APPELLANTS

(1)

Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), the assignee.

(11)

Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no other appeals or interferences known to appellants, appellants' representative or assignee, which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(111)

Status of Claims

U.S.C. \$103 as being unpatentable over Joyce et al. in view of Ahmed et al. in an Office Action dated August 12, 2005.

 $(\dot{\perp}\vee)$

Status of Amendment

All amendments have been entered.

(v)

Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

In accordance with the teachings of the invention, when a command is being executed on a plurality of computer systems on a network, a dialog window is displayed (page 17, lines 9 - 16 and Fig. 18). In the dialog window, sub-

AUS920010905US1

Page 2 of 17

windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems are displayed (page 17, lines 24 to page 18, line 9).

(v1)

Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

Whether Claims 1 - 37 were properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Joyce et al. in view of Ahmed et al.

 $(\forall \pm \pm)$

Arguments

In considering a Section §103 rejection, the subject matter of the claim "as a whole" must be considered and analyzed. In the analysis, it is necessary that the scope and contents of the prior art and differences between the art and the claimed invention be determined. Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966).

Joyde et al. purport to teach a method of using monitoring tools to support the development of distributed systems that interact via message passing (see page 122, lines 1 - 3). In accordance with the teachings of Joyde et al., Jade, a programming environment, is used to support the development of a distributed program. Jade includes a window system, a graphics package, an interactive graphics editor and a distributed monitoring system (see Section 2 on page 125).

The graphics package provides routines for creating and manipulating pictures and the graphics editor

AUS920010905US1

Page 3 of 17

racilitates the creation of pictures that can be used to represent specific states of an executing distributed program (see the 4th full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125). The window system may be used by a user to create and manipulate windows using a mouse, for example. A window is a virtual terminal as well as an interface to Tade processes (see the 3th full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125).

Thus, in conjunction with the window system, the graphics package and the graphics editor, the distributed monitoring system may be used to observe a set of Jade processes executing on different machines (see the 1st full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125 as well as the 1st full paragraph of Section 2.2 on page 126).

The system may be set such that each time an event is received (which is generally done through message passing from one computer system to another), a picture that represents a current state of the inter-process communication of the distributed application program is updated and displayed to the user (see Section 3.2 on pages 133 and 134). Consequently, an animated graphical view of an event stream, such as that shown in Fig. 7, may be displayed to a user.

But Joyce et al. do not teach, show or suggest the step of displaying a <u>dialog window</u> that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

Ahmed et al. purport to teach a distributed framework for intertask communication between workstation

AUS920010905US1

Page 4 of 17

According to the ourported teachings of applications. Ahmed et al., one or more workstations are interconnected by an extensible intertask communication (ITC) apparatus. Each workstation has a display in which one or more windows are presented to an operator. Each window is generated in response to the execution of an application program or cilent application. Each client application has a Human Interface Code and a Framework Code. The Framework Code, conjunction with a server program, transmits , and communicates event information directly between a first client application and a second client application, or a plurality of dilent application programs condurrently executing in one or more workstations of a network of interconnected workstations, without requiring that event information pass through and register with an intervening server or dispatcher application program, if and when an inherest object is initially transmitted between the first client application and the second client application via the server program.

An event is an action taken by one operator at a For example, that operator may drag the workstation. oursor by moving a mouse or perhaps the operator will delete data or create new data. That event information, being practiced by one operator in one program application at one workstation, may be needed by another operator in another program application at another workstation. The can transmit interprocess communication tha'. ever: information from the one program application to all other program applications in the network of workstations, without requiring that the event information register with

AUS920010905US1

Page 5 of 17

an intervening server or dispatcher program, provided that an interest object(s) was initially transmitted between the one program application and all the other program applications via a server which are concurrently executing in all of the workstations in the network of workstations.

However, just as in the case of Joyce et al., Ahmed et al. do not show, teach or so much as suggest the step of displaying a dialog window that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

in. references, nelther alone Since :.he combination, teach, show or suggest the claimed invention, Applicants submit that the claims in the Application are allowable. Hende, Applicanta respectfully request. allowance and passage LO. issue of Ĺr. ::he application.

Respectfully Submitted

Volel Emile

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 39,969

(512) 306-7969

AUS920010905US1

(viii)

Claims Appendix

1. (Previously presented) A method of displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sented a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said method comprising the steps of:

displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow.

- 2. (Original) The method of Claim 1 wherein said subwindows include a "walting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- 3. (Original) The method of Claim 2 wherein the step of displaying the status of the execution of the command includes displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- 4. (Original) The method of Claim 3 wherein when the dommand begins to execute on a computer system, the

AUS920010905US1

Page 7 of 17

name of the computer system is moved from the "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.

- 6. (Original) The method of Claim 4 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- 6. (Original) The method of Claim b wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "falled" sub-window.
- 7. (Original) The method of Claim 6 wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- 8. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 7 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not accessfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- 9. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 8 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" sub-window.
- 10. (Original) The method of Claim 9 wherein when the displayed name of a computer system is selected

AUS920010905US1

Page 8 of 17

rurther information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.

- 11. (Original) The method of Claim 10 wherein 11 the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 12. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 11 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 13. (Previously presented) A computer program product on a computer readable medium for displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said computer program product comprising:

code for displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

code for displaying the status of the execution of the dominand on each of the computer systems within the proper sub-window.

14. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 13 wherein said sub-windows include a "walting" sub-

AUS920010905US1

Page 9 of 17

> window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" subwindow.

- 15. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 14 wherein the code for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes code for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- 16. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 15 wherein when the command begins to execute on a computer system, the name of the computer system is moved from the "walting" asb-window to the "working" asb-window.
- 17. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 16 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- 18. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 17 wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "falled" sub-window.
- 19. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 18 wherein the names of the computer systems that have audiceasfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.

AUS920010905US1

Page 10 of 17

- 20. (Previously presented) The computer program product of claim 19 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- 21. (Previously presented) The computer program product of Claim 20 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" subwindow.
- 22. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 21 wherein when the displayed name of a computer agatem is selected further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.
- 23. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 22 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 24. (Previously presented) The computer program product of Claim 23 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

25. (Previously presented) An apparatus for displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said apparatus comprising:

means for displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

means for displaying the status of the execution of the dominand on each of the computer systems within the proper sub-window.

- 26. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 2b wherein said subwindows include a "waiting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- 27. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 26 wherein the means for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes means for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- 28. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 27 wherein when the command begins to execute on a computer system, the name of the computer system is moved from the "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.

AUS920010905US1

Page 12 of 17

- 29. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 28 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- 30. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 29 wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "falled" sub-window.
- 31. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 30 wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- 32. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 31 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "falled" sub-window.
- 33. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 32 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" subwindow.
- 34. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 33 wherein when the displayed name of a computer system is selected further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

Page 13 of 17

- 35. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 34 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 36. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 35 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 37. (Previously presented) A method of displaying an execution status of a command, the command being executed by a plurality of computer systems on a network, the computer systems running different against management software utilities having different command structures, the method comprising the steps of:

enabling a user to enter the command in a common interface, the command being either a request to start execution of another command or to stop execution of the other command, the common interface translating the command into the different command structures;

enabling a user to send the command to the plurality of the computer systems;

enabling a user to indicate whether or not the execution of the command is to be monitored;

AUS920010905US1

Page 14 of 17

displaying, if the execution of the command is to be monitored, a dialog window that is divided into a waiting, working, successful and failed sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems executing the command; and

displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow.

AUS920010905US1

Page 15 of 17

(ix)

Evidence Appendix

None.

AUS920010905US1

Page 16 of 17

(x)

Related Proceedings Appendix

None.

AUS920010905US1

Page 17 of 17

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

Appl. No. 09/965,002 Response to Non-Complaint Appeal Brief dated 03/31/2006 Reply to Office Action of 03/16/2006

MAR 3 1 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of:

Abdelhadi et al.

Serial No: 09/965,002

: Michael D. Meucci

: Before the Examiner:

Filed: 09/27/2001

: Group Art Unit: 2142

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD : Confirmation No.: 2728

OF REPRESENTING REAL-TIME DISTRIBUTED COMMAND

EXECUTION STATUS ACROSS DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLAINT APPEAL BRIDE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

air:

This is a Response to a Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief dated March 16, 2006.

AUS920010905US1

Page 1 of 17

BRIEF FOR APPLICANTS - APPELLANTS

(1)

Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), the assignee.

 $(\dot{\perp}\dot{\perp})$

Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no other appeals or interferences known to appellants, appellants' representative or assignee, which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(111)

Status of Claims

Claims 1 - 37 have been finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$103 as being unpatientable over Joyce et al. in view of Ahmed et al. in an Office Action dated August 12, 2005.

(iv)

Status of Amendment

All amendments have been entered.

(v)

Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

In accordance with the reachings of the invention, when a command is being executed on a piurality of computer systems on a network, a dialog window is displayed (page 17, lines 9 - 16 and Fig. 18). In the dialog window, sub-

AUS920010905US1

Page 2 of 17

windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems are displayed (page 17, lines 24 to page 18, line 9).

(v1)

Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

Whether Claims 1 - 37 were properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Joyce et al. in view of Ahmed et al.

(v11)

Arguments

In considering a Section §103 rejection, the subject matter of the claim "as a whole" must be considered and analyzed. In the analysis, it is necessary that the scope and contents of the prior art and differences between the art and the claimed invention be determined. Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966).

Joyce et al. purport to teach a method of using monitoring tools to support the development of distributed systems that interact via message passing (see page 122, lines 1 - 3). In accordance with the teachings of Joyce et al., Jade, a programming environment, is used to support the development of a distributed program. Jade includes a window system, a graphics package, an interactive graphics editor and a distributed monitoring system (see Section 2 on page 125).

The graphica package provides routines for creating and manipulating pictures and the graphics editor

AUS920010905US1

Page 3 of 17

racilitates the creation of pictures that can be used to represent specific states of an executing distributed program (see the 4^{10} full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125). The window system may be used by a user to create and manipulate windows using a mouse, for example. A window is a virtual terminal as well as an interface to Tade processes (see the 3^{10} full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125).

Thus, in conjunction with the window system, the graphics package and the graphics editor, the distributed monitoring system may be used to observe a set of Jade processes executing on different machines (see the 181 full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125 as well as the 181 full paragraph of Section 2.2 on page 126).

The system may be set such that each time an event is received (which is generally done through message passing from one computer system to another), a picture that represents a current state of the inter-process communication of the distributed application program is updated and displayed to the user (see Section 3.2 on pages 133 and 134). Consequently, an animated graphical view of an event stream, such as that shown in Fig. 7, may be displayed to a user.

But Joyce et al. do not teach, show of suggest the step of displaying a <u>dialog window</u> that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

Ahmed et al. purport to teach a distributed framework for intertask communication between workstation

AUS920010905US1

Page 4 of 17

According to the ourocrted teachings of applications. Ahmed et al., one or more workstations are interconnected by an extensible intertask communication (ITC) apparatus. Each workstation has a display in which one or more windows are presented to an operator. Each window is generated in response to the execution of an application program or cilent application. Each client application has a Human Interface Code and a Framework Code. The Framework Code, transmits conjunction with a server program, communicates event information directly between a first client application and a second client application, or a of ciles: application programs concurrently executing in one or more workstations of a network of interconnected workstations, without requiring that event information bass through and register with an intervening server or dispancher application program, if and when an inverest object is initially transmitted between the first cilent application and the second cilent application via the server program.

An event is an action taken by one operator at a For example, that operator may drag the workstation. cursor by moving a mouse or perhaps the operator will delete data or create new data. That event information, being practiced by one operator in one program application at one workstation, may be needed by another operator in another program application at another workstation. The that. transmit even: interprocess communication can information from the one program application to all other program applications in the network of workstations, without requiring that the event information register with

AUS920010905US1

Page 5 of 17

an intervening server or dispatcher program, provided that an interest object(s) was initially transmitted between the one program application and all the other program applications via a server which are concurrently executing in all of the workstations in the network of workstations.

However, just as in the case of Joyce et al., Ahmed et al. do not show, teach or so much as suggest the step of displaying a dialog window that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

ĹΓ references, nelther alone Since :.h:e combination, teach, show or suggest the claimed invention, Applicants submit that the claims in the Application are allowable. Hende, Applicanta respectfully request. allowance and passage :.0 issue of :.he appileation.

Respectfully Submitted

Volel Emile

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 39,969

(512) 306-7969

AUS920010905US1

(viii)

Claims Appendix

1. (Previously presented) A method of displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sented a piurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said method comprising the steps of:

displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow.

- 2. (Original) The method of Claim I wherein said subwindows include a "waiting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- 3. (Original) The method of Claim 2 wherein the step of displaying the status of the execution of the command includes displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- 4. (Original) The method of Claim 3 wherein when the dommand begins to execute on a computer system, the

AUS920010905US1

Page 7 of 17

name of the computer system is moved from the "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.

- 6. (Original) The method of Claim 4 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- 6. (Original) The method of Claim b wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "falled" sub-window.
- 7. (Original) The method of Claim 6 wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- 8. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 7 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "falled" sub-window.
- 9. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 8 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" sub-window.
- 10. (Original) The method of Claim 9 wherein when the displayed name of a computer system is selected

AUS920010905US1

Page 8 of 17

ruriner information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.

- 11. (Original) The method of Claim 10 wherein 11 the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 12. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 11 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 13. (Previously presented) A computer program product on a computer readable medium for displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said computer program product comprising:

code for displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

code for displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within the proper sub-window.

14. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 13 wherein said sub-windows include a "walting" sub-

AUS920010905US1

Page 9 of 17

> window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" subwindow.

- 15. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 14 wherein the code for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes code for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- 16. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 15 wherein when the command begins to execute on a computer system, the name of the computer system is moved from the "waiting" aub-window to the "working" aub-window.
- 17. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 16 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- 18. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 17 wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "falled" sub-window.
- 19. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 18 wherein the names of the computer systems that have auddesafully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.

AUS920010905US1

Page 10 of 17

(

Appl. No. 09/965,002 Response to Non-Complaint Appeal Brief dated 03/31/2006 Reply to Office Action of 03/16/2006

- 20. (Previously presented) The computer program product of Claim 19 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- 21. (Previously presented) The computer program product of Claim 20 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "falled" subwindow.
- 22. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 21 wherein when the displayed name of a computer against is selected further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.
- 23. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 22 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 24. (Previously presented) The computer program product of claim 23 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

Page 11 of 17

25. (Previously presented) An apparatus for displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sent to a pitrality of computer systems on a network for execution, said apparatus comprising:

means for displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

means for displaying the status of the execution of the dommand on each of the computer systems within the proper sub-window.

- 26. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 2b wherein said subwindows include a "waiting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- 27. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 26 wherein the means for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes means for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- 28. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 27 wherein when the command begins to execute on a computer system, the name of the computer system is moved from the "walting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.

AUS920010905US1

Page 12 of 17

- 29. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 28 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- 30. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 29 wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "falled" sub-window.
- 31. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 30 wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- 32. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 31 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- 33. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 32 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "falled" subwindow.
- 34. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 33 wherein when the displayed name of a computer system is selected further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

Page 13 of 17

- 35. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 34 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 36. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 35 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 37. (Previously presented) A method of displaying an execution status of a command, the command being executed by a plurality of computer systems on a network, the computer systems running different system management software utilities having different command structures, the method comprising the steps of:

enabling a user to enter the command in a common interface, the command being either a request to start execution of another command or to stop execution of the other command, the common interface translating the command into the different command structures;

enabling a user to send the command to the plurality of the computer systems;

enabling a user to indicate whether or not the execution of the command is to be monitored;

AUS920010905US1

Page 14 of 17

displaying, if the execution of the command is to be monitored, a dialog window that is divided into a waiting, working, successful and failed sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems executing the command; and

displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow.

AUS920010905US1

Page 15 of 17

(ix)

Evidence Appendix

None.

AUS920010905US1

Page 16 of 17

(x)

Related Proceedings Appendix

None.

AUS920010905US1

Page 17 of 17

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 3 1 2006

Appl. No. 09/965,002 Response to Non-Complaint Appeal Brief dated 03/31/2006 Reply to Office Action of 03/16/2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of:

Abdelhadi es al.

: Before the Examiner: Serial No: 09/965,002 : Michael D. Meucol

Filed: 09/27/2001 : Group Art Unit: 2142

:

Title: APPARATUS AND METHOD : Confirmation No.: 2728

OF REPRESENTING REAL-TIME :
DISTRIBUTED COMMAND :
EXECUTION STATUS ACROSS ::

EXECUTION STATUS ACROSS
DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLAINT APPEAL BRIDE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

ai⊻:

This is a Response to a Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief dated March 16, 2006.

AUS920010905US1

BRIEF FOR APPLICANTS - APPELLANTS

(1)

Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), the assignee.

(11)

Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no other appeals or interferences known to appellants, appellants' representative or assignee, which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

 $(\dot{1}\dot{1}\dot{1}\dot{1})$

Status of Claims

U.S.C. \$103 as being unpatentable over Joyce et al. in view of Ahmed et al. in an Office Action dated August 12, 2005.

(<u>'</u>v)

Status of Amendment

All amendments have been entered.

(v)

Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

In accordance with the reachings of the invention, when a command is being executed on a plurality of computer systems on a network, a dialog window is displayed (page 17, lines 9 - 16 and Fig. 10). In the dialog window, sub-

AUS920010905US1

Page 2 of 17

windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems are displayed (page 17, lines 24 to page 18, line 9).

(v1)

Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

Whether Claims 1 - 37 were properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Joyce et al. in view of Ahmed et al.

(v11)

Arguments

In considering a Section §103 rejection, the subject matter of the claim "as a whole" must be considered and analyzed. In the analysis, it is necessary that the scope and contents of the prior art and differences between the art and the claimed invention be determined. Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. I (1966).

Toyce et al. purport to teach a method of using monitoring tools to support the development of distributed systems that interact via message passing (see page 122, lines 1 - 3). In accordance with the teachings of Toyce et al., Tade, a programming environment, is used to support the development of a distributed program. Tade includes a window system, a graphics package, an interactive graphics editor and a distributed monitoring system (see Section 2 on page 125).

The graphica package provides routilnes for creating and manipulating pictures and the graphics editor

AUS920010905US1

Page 3 of 17

racilitates the creation of pictures that can be used to represent specific states of an executing distributed program (see the 4^{10} full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125). The window system may be used by a user to create and manipulate windows using a mouse, for example. A window is a virtual terminal as well as an interface to Tade processes (see the 3^{10} full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125).

Thus, in conjunction with the window system, the graphics package and the graphics editor, the distributed monitoring system may be used to observe a set of Jade processes executing on different machines (see the 18th full paragraph of Section 2.1 on page 125 as well as the 18th full paragraph of Section 2.2 on page 126).

The system may be set such that each time an event is received (which is generally done through message passing from one computer system to another), a picture that represents a current state of the inter-process communication of the distributed application program is updated and displayed to the user (see Section 3.2 on pages 133 and 134). Consequently, an animated graphical view of an event stream, such as that shown in Fig. 7, may be displayed to a user.

But Joyce et al. do not teach, show or suggest the step of displaying a <u>dialog window</u> that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

Ahmed et al. purport to teach a distributed framework for intertask communication between workstation

AUS920010905US1

Page 4 of 17

According to the ourborted teachings of applications. Ahmed et al., one or more workstations are interconnected by an extensible intertask communication (ITC) apparatus. Each workstation has a display in which one or more windows are presented to an operator. Each window is generated in response to the execution of an application program or Each client application has a Human cilent application. Inverface Code and a Framework Code. The Framework Code, conjunction with a server uransmius prooram, communicates event information directly between a first client application and a second client application, or a plurality of clien: application programs concurrently executing in one or more workstations of a network of interconnected workstations, without requiring that event information pass through and register with an intervening server or dispatcher application program, if and when an inverest object is initially transmitted between the first cilent application and the second cilent application via the server program.

An event is an action taken by one operator at a For example, that operator may drag the workstation. cursor by moving a mouse or perhaps the operator will delete data or create new data. That event information, being practiced by one operator in one program application at one workstation, may be needed by another operator in another program application at another workstation. The interprocess communication can nganamit that. event. information from the one program application to all other anoligablicas margord 1 n the network of workstations, without requiring that the event information register with

AUS920010905US1

Page 5 of 17

an intervening server or dispatcher program, provided that an interest object(s) was initially transmitted between the one program application and all the other program applications via a server which are concurrently executing in all of the workstations in the network of workstations.

However, just as in the case of Joyce et al., Ahmed et al. do not show, teach or so much as suggest the step of displaying a dialog window that is divided into sub-windows in which the status of a command that is being executed on a plurality of computer systems is displayed as claimed.

Ĺr. references, nelther alone Since the combination, teach, show or suggest the claimed invention, Applicants submit that the claims in the Application are request. allowable. Hence, Applicanua respectfully allowance and passage 1.0 issue of the the application.

Respectfully Submitted

Volel Emile

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 39,969

(512) 306-7969

AUS920010905US1

 $(\vee \dot{\perp}\dot{\perp}\dot{\perp})$

Claims Appendix

1. (Previously presented) A method of displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sented a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said method comprising the steps of:

displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow.

- 2. (Original) The method of Claim I wherein said subwindows include a "waiting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- 3. (Original) The method of Claim 2 wherein the step of displaying the status of the execution of the command includes displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- 4. (Original) The method of Claim 3 wherein when the dominand begins to execute on a computer system, the

AUS920010905US1

Page 7 of 17

name of the computer system is moved from the "waiting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.

- 5. (Original) The method of Claim 4 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- 6. (Original) The method of Claim b wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "falled" sub-window.
- 7. (Original) The method of Claim 6 wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- 8. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 7 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- 9. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 8 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" sub-window.
- 10. (Original) The method of Claim 9 wherein when the displayed name of a computer system is selected

AUS920010905US1

Page 8 of 17

ruriner information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.

- ii. (Original) The method of Claim 10 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 12. (Previously presented) The method of Claim 11 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 13. (Previously presented) A computer program product on a computer readable medium for displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said computer program product comprising:

code for displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

code for displaying the status of the execution of the dominand on each of the computer systems within the proper sub-window.

14. (Original) The computer program product of Glaim 13 wherein said sub-windows include a "waiting" sub-

AUS920010905US1

Page 9 of 17

> window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" subwindow.

- 15. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 14 wherein the code for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes code for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- 16. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 15 wherein when the command begins to execute on a computer system, the name of the computer system is moved from the "waiting" aub-window to the "working" aub-window.
- 17. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 16 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- 18. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 17 wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "falled" sub-window.
- 19. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 18 wherein the names of the computer systems that have accreafully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.

AUS920010905US1

Page 10 of 17

- 20. (Previously presented) The computer program product of Claim 19 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "falled" sub-window.
- 21. (Previously presented) The computer program product of Claim 20 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "falled" subwindow.
- 22. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 21 wherein when the displayed name of a computer agatem is selected further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.
- 23. (Original) The computer program product of Claim 22 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 24. (Previously presented) The computer program product of Claim 23 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

Page 11 of 17

25. (Previously presented) An apparatus for displaying an execution status of a command, said command being sent to a plurality of computer systems on a network for execution, said apparatus comprising:

means for displaying a dialog window, said dialog window being divided into sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems; and

means for displaying the status of the execution of the dominand on each of the domputer systems within the proper sub-window.

- 26. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 2b wherein said subwindows include a "waiting" sub-window, a "working" sub-window and a "completed" sub-window.
- 27. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 26 wherein the means for displaying the status of the execution of the command includes means for displaying the names of the computer systems in the sub-windows in accordance with the status of the execution of the command on the computer systems.
- 28. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 27 wherein when the command begins to execute on a computer system. the name of the computer system is moved from the "walting" sub-window to the "working" sub-window.

AUS920010905US1

Page 12 of 17

- 29. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 28 wherein when the command has finished executing on a computer, the name of the computer is moved from the "working" sub-window to the "completed" sub-window.
- 30. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 29 wherein the "completed" sub-window is further divided into a "successful" sub-window and a "falled" sub-window.
- 31. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 30 wherein the names of the computer systems that have successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "successful" sub-window.
- 32. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 31 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in the "failed" sub-window.
- 33. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 32 wherein the names of the computer systems that have not successfully completed the execution of the command are displayed in red in the "failed" subwindow.
- 34. (Original) The apparatus of Claim 33 wherein when the displayed name of a computer system is selected further information about the status of the command executing on the computer system is displayed.

AUS920010905US1

Page 13 of 17

- 35. (original) The apparatus of Claim 34 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the failed sub-window, a reason for the unsuccessful completion of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 36. (Previously presented) The apparatus of Claim 35 wherein if the selected computer system is displayed in the executing sub-window, a real-time progress of the execution of the command is displayed.
- 37. (Previously presented) A method of displaying an execution status of a command, the command being executed by a plurality of computer systems on a network, the computer systems running different system management software utilities having different command structures, the method comprising the steps of:

enabling a user to enter the command in a common interface, the command being either a request to start execution of another command or to stop execution of the other command, the common interface translating the command into the different command structures;

enabling a user to send the command to the plurality of the computer systems;

enabling a user to indicate whether or not the execution of the command is to be monitored;

AUS920010905US1

Page 14 of 17

displaying, if the execution of the command is to be monitored, a dialog window that is divided into a waiting, working, successful and failed sub-windows for displaying present status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems executing the command; and

displaying the status of the execution of the command on each of the computer systems within a proper subwindow.

AUS920010905US1

Page 15 of 17

(ix)

Evidence Appendix

None.

AUS920010905US1

Page 16 of 17

(x)

Related Proceedings Appendix

None.

AUS920010905US1

Page 17 of 17

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:	
BLACK BORDERS	
IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	
7 orwan	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.